## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

RANDY K. DANIELS, #129276,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§ (	Case No. 6:24-cv-077-JDK-KNM
	§	
SMITH COUNTY SHERIFF,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

## ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Randy K. Daniels, an inmate of the Smith County Jail proceeding prose and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging violations of his constitutional rights in jail. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

On May 1, 2024, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the case be dismissed with prejudice as frivolous and for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). Docket No. 5. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff, but he has not filed written objections.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other* 

grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from

ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews her legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 5) as the findings of this Court. This case

is **DISMISSED** with prejudice as frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which

relief can be granted. All pending motions are **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 1st day of June, 2024.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE